

# Spacek et al., 2021, Figure 1

## Figure 1f

### Feedback effects on firing rate

```
# We fit a random-intercept, random-slope model with two random effects:  
# (1) Neurons (uid) can have different baseline firing rates,  
# and the effect of feedback can vary across neurons.  
# (2) Mean firing rates are allowed to differ across experiments (eid),  
# which are nested within recording sessions (sid),  
# which are nested within animals (mid).  
  
lmer.1f = lmer(rates ~ feedback + (1 + feedback | uid) + (1 | mid/sid/eid),  
                data = tb %>% drop_na(rates))  
  
display(lmer.1f)  
  
## lmer(formula = rates ~ feedback + (1 + feedback | uid) + (1 |  
##       mid/sid/eid), data = tb %>% drop_na(rates))  
##           coef.est  coef.se  
## (Intercept) 10.48     2.23  
## feedback      3.23     0.78  
##  
## Error terms:  
##   Groups        Name        Std.Dev. Corr  
##   uid          (Intercept) 10.30  
##             feedback    6.28    -0.23  
##   eid:(sid:mid) (Intercept) 2.58  
##   sid:mid       (Intercept) 1.42  
##   mid          (Intercept) 3.91  
##   Residual            6.36  
## ---  
## number of obs: 45192, groups: uid, 65; eid:(sid:mid), 24; sid:mid, 11; mid, 6  
## AIC = 296402, DIC = 296393.8  
## deviance = 296389.2  
anova(lmer.1f)  
  
## Type III Analysis of Variance Table with Satterthwaite's method  
##           Sum Sq Mean Sq NumDF DenDF F value    Pr(>F)  
## feedback 691.49  691.49     1 63.214  17.077 0.0001075 ***  
## ---  
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Feedback: mean firing rate of 13.7 spikes/s

Suppression: mean firing rate of 10.5 spikes/s

n = 65 neurons from 6 mice

## Figure 1g

### Feedback effects on burst ratio

```
# Random-intercept, random-slope for single neurons,
# random intercept for experiments.
# Variability across series and mice is close to zero,
# including random intercepts for those gives singular fits.

lmer.1g = lmer(burstratios ~ feedback + (1 + feedback | uid) + (1 | eid),
               data = tb %>% drop_na(burstratios))

display(lmer.1g)

## lmer(formula = burstratios ~ feedback + (1 + feedback | uid) +
##       (1 | eid), data = tb %>% drop_na(burstratios))
##           coef.est coef.se
## (Intercept)  0.09    0.01
## feedback    -0.04    0.01
##
## Error terms:
##   Groups     Name      Std.Dev. Corr
##   uid        (Intercept) 0.11
##   feedback    feedback   0.08    -0.90
##   eid        (Intercept) 0.01
##   Residual             0.10
## ---
## number of obs: 43037, groups: uid, 65; eid, 24
## AIC = -71234.3, DIC = -71279.5
## deviance = -71263.9

anova(lmer.1g)

## Type III Analysis of Variance Table with Satterthwaite's method
##           Sum Sq Mean Sq NumDF DenDF F value    Pr(>F)
## feedback 0.19754 0.19754     1 63.983 17.935 7.463e-05 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Feedback: mean burst ratio of 0.05

Suppression: mean burst ratio of 0.09

n = 65 neurons from 6 mice

## Figure 1h

### Feedback effects on sparseness

```
# Random-intercept, random-slope for single neurons,
# random intercept for experiments, nested within series
lmer.1h = lmer(spars ~ feedback + (1 + feedback | uid) + (1 | sid/eid),
               data = tbhi %>% drop_na(spars))

display(lmer.1h)

## lmer(formula = spars ~ feedback + (1 + feedback | uid) + (1 |
##       sid/eid), data = tbhi %>% drop_na(spars))
##           coef.est  coef.se
## (Intercept)  0.45      0.04
## feedback     -0.10      0.02
##
## Error terms:
##   Groups    Name        Std.Dev. Corr
##   uid      (Intercept) 0.19
##          feedback    0.11     -0.42
##   eid:sid (Intercept) 0.04
##   sid      (Intercept) 0.08
##   Residual            0.07
## ---
## number of obs: 248, groups: uid, 65; eid:sid, 24; sid, 11
## AIC = -284.8, DIC = -323.2
## deviance = -312.0

anova(lmer.1h)

## Type III Analysis of Variance Table with Satterthwaite's method
##           Sum Sq Mean Sq NumDF DenDF F value    Pr(>F)
## feedback 0.17017 0.17017     1 62.994  33.74 2.24e-07 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Feedback: 0.35
Suppression: 0.45
n = 65 neurons from 6 mice
```

## Figure 1i

### Feedback effects on reliability

```
# Random-intercept, random-slope for single neurons,
# random intercept for experiments, nested within series
lmer.1i = lmer(rel ~ feedback + (1 + feedback | uid) + (1 | sid/eid),
               data = tbhi %>% drop_na(rel))

display(lmer.1i)

## lmer(formula = rel ~ feedback + (1 + feedback | uid) + (1 | sid/eid),
##       data = tbhi %>% drop_na(rel))
##           coef.est    coef.se
## (Intercept)  0.18      0.02
## feedback     -0.03      0.01
##
## Error terms:
##   Groups   Name        Std.Dev. Corr
##   uid      (Intercept) 0.11
##          feedback    0.02     -0.96
##   eid:sid (Intercept) 0.05
##   sid      (Intercept) 0.02
##   Residual            0.04
## ---
## number of obs: 248, groups: uid, 65; eid:sid, 24; sid, 11
## AIC = -590.4, DIC = -635.9
## deviance = -621.2

anova(lmer.1i)

## Type III Analysis of Variance Table with Satterthwaite's method
##           Sum Sq  Mean Sq NumDF DenDF F value    Pr(>F)
## feedback 0.034617 0.034617     1  63.101 17.782 8.058e-05 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Feedback: 0.15
Suppression: 0.18
n = 65 neurons from 6 mice
```