

## Figure 4-Supplement 1a

### Relation between firing rate FMI and burst ratio FMI

```
# Random intercept for neurons,  
# random intercept for experiments, nested in series, nested in mice  
lmer.4_S1a = lmer(meanburstratio ~ meanrate + (1 | uid) + (1 | mid/sid/eid),  
                 data = tb %>% drop_na(meanburstratio, meanrate))
```

```
display(lmer.4_S1a)
```

```
## lmer(formula = meanburstratio ~ meanrate + (1 | uid) + (1 | mid/sid/eid),  
##   data = tb %>% drop_na(meanburstratio, meanrate))  
##           coef.est coef.se  
## (Intercept) -0.14    0.28  
## meanrate     0.03    0.21  
##  
## Error terms:  
## Groups      Name          Std.Dev.  
## uid         (Intercept) 0.21  
## eid:(sid:mid) (Intercept) 0.12  
## sid:mid     (Intercept) 0.32  
## mid        (Intercept) 0.46  
## Residual                    0.24  
## ---  
## number of obs: 65, groups: uid, 42; eid:(sid:mid), 10; sid:mid, 7; mid, 4  
## AIC = 66.6, DIC = 47.5  
## deviance = 50.0
```

```
anova(lmer.4_S1a)
```

```
## Type III Analysis of Variance Table with Satterthwaite's method  
##           Sum Sq  Mean Sq NumDF  DenDF F value Pr(>F)  
## meanrate 0.0010754 0.0010754    1 44.975  0.019 0.8911
```

Slope of  $0.029 \pm 0.41$  (95%-confidence interval)

n = 42 neurons from 4 mice

## Figure 4-Supplement 1b

### Relation between firing rates in response to movies vs gratings

```
# Random intercept for neurons,  
# random intercept for series, nested in mice  
lmer.4_S1b = lmer(meanrate ~ mvi + (1 | uid) + (1 | mid/sid),  
                 data = tb %>% drop_na(meanrate))  
  
display(lmer.4_S1b)  
  
## lmer(formula = meanrate ~ mvi + (1 | uid) + (1 | mid/sid), data = tb %>%  
##   drop_na(meanrate))  
##           coef.est coef.se  
## (Intercept) 16.30     4.37  
## mvi          -3.01     1.48  
##  
## Error terms:  
## Groups   Name          Std.Dev.  
## uid      (Intercept) 11.22  
## sid:mid  (Intercept)  3.77  
## mid      (Intercept)  6.74  
## Residual                    6.87  
## ---  
## number of obs: 86, groups: uid, 43; sid:mid, 8; mid, 4  
## AIC = 664.6, DIC = 667  
## deviance = 659.8  
  
anova(lmer.4_S1b)  
  
## Type III Analysis of Variance Table with Satterthwaite's method  
##      Sum Sq Mean Sq NumDF DenDF F value  Pr(>F)  
## mvi 194.37  194.37     1    42  4.1191 0.04877 *  
## ---  
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1  
  
Movies: 13.29 spikes/s  
Gratings: 16.30 spikes/s  
n = 43 neurons from 4 mice
```

## Figure 4-Supplement 1c,d

Relation between firing rates during feedback vs suppression for separate epochs of the movie

```
lmer.4_S1cd = lmer(trialrate ~ feedback*earlywin + (1 + feedback*earlywin | uid) + (1 | mid/sid/eid),
                  data = tmp2 %>% drop_na(trialrate))

display(lmer.4_S1cd)
```

```
## lmer(formula = trialrate ~ feedback * earlywin + (1 + feedback *
##   earlywin | uid) + (1 | mid/sid/eid), data = tmp2 %>% drop_na(trialrate))
##               coef.est coef.se
## (Intercept)    10.58    2.16
## feedback        2.74    0.79
## earlywin       -0.15    0.44
## feedback:earlywin 0.89    0.29
##
## Error terms:
## Groups      Name                Std.Dev. Corr
## uid         (Intercept)          9.80
##             feedback             6.36   -0.23
##             earlywin             3.46   -0.13  0.11
##             feedback:earlywin    2.03    0.13 -0.12 -0.60
## eid:(sid:mid) (Intercept)        2.69
## sid:mid      (Intercept)        2.61
## mid          (Intercept)        3.39
## Residual                                7.79
## ---
## number of obs: 57760, groups: uid, 65; eid:(sid:mid), 24; sid:mid, 11; mid, 6
## AIC = 402216, DIC = 402187.1
## deviance = 402183.4
```

```
anova(lmer.4_S1cd)
```

```
## Type III Analysis of Variance Table with Satterthwaite's method
##               Sum Sq Mean Sq NumDF  DenDF F value  Pr(>F)
## feedback        718.05  718.05     1 63.205 11.8402 0.001032 **
## earlywin         7.30    7.30     1 63.415  0.1203 0.729864
## feedback:earlywin 569.95  569.95     1 64.337  9.3982 0.003174 **
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

n = 65 neurons from 6 mice

## Figure 4-Supplement 1e

### Burst ratio FMIs separately for grating versus movie presentations

```
# Random intercept for neurons,  
# random intercept for mice  
lmer.4_S1e = lmer(meanburstratio ~ mvi + (1 | uid) + (1 | mid),  
                 data = t4S1e %>% drop_na(meanburstratio))  
  
display(lmer.4_S1e)  
  
## lmer(formula = meanburstratio ~ mvi + (1 | uid) + (1 | mid),  
##      data = t4S1e %>% drop_na(meanburstratio))  
##              coef.est coef.se  
## (Intercept) -0.50      0.08  
## mvi          0.17      0.07  
##  
## Error terms:  
## Groups   Name      Std.Dev.  
## uid      (Intercept) 0.19  
## mid      (Intercept) 0.10  
## Residual                    0.30  
## ---  
## number of obs: 72, groups: uid, 36; mid, 3  
## AIC = 71.1, DIC = 47.1  
## deviance = 54.1  
  
anova(lmer.4_S1e)  
  
## Type III Analysis of Variance Table with Satterthwaite's method  
##      Sum Sq Mean Sq NumDF DenDF F value Pr(>F)  
## mvi 0.51665 0.51665     1    35  5.6543 0.02301 *  
## ---  
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1  
  
FMI movies: -0.34  
FMI gratings: -0.50  
n = 36 neurons from 3 mice
```

## Figure 4-Supplement 1f

### Burst ratio FMIs across blank screen conditions

```
# Random intercept for neurons,  
# random intercept for series  
lmer.4_S1f = lmer(meanburstratio ~ blank_condition + (1 | uid) + (1 | sid),  
                 data = t3f %>% drop_na(meanburstratio))  
  
display(lmer.4_S1f)  
  
## lmer(formula = meanburstratio ~ blank_condition + (1 | uid) +  
##      (1 | sid), data = t3f %>% drop_na(meanburstratio))  
##              coef.est coef.se  
## (Intercept)      -0.68    0.18  
## blank_conditiongrt0c  0.10    0.12  
## blank_conditionmvi   0.01    0.12  
##  
## Error terms:  
## Groups   Name          Std.Dev.  
## uid      (Intercept)  0.26  
## sid      (Intercept)  0.32  
## Residual                    0.45  
## ---  
## number of obs: 87, groups: uid, 29; sid, 5  
## AIC = 151.4, DIC = 125.1  
## deviance = 132.3  
  
anova(lmer.4_S1f)  
  
## Type III Analysis of Variance Table with Satterthwaite's method  
##              Sum Sq Mean Sq NumDF DenDF F value Pr(>F)  
## blank_condition 0.17039 0.085196      2    56  0.4273 0.6544  
  
FMI pre-movie:-0.67  
FMI pre-grating: -0.68  
FMI blank grating: -0.58  
n = 29 neurons from 3 mice
```

## Post-hoc analysis, comparing mean across blank conditions against stimulus condition

```
## lmer(formula = meanburstratio ~ stim_condition + (1 | uid) +
##       (1 | sid), data = t4S1ef %>% drop_na(meanburstratio))
##               coef.est coef.se
## (Intercept)   -0.36    0.13
## stim_condition2 -0.17    0.10
## stim_condition3 -0.20    0.08
##
## Error terms:
## Groups   Name          Std.Dev.
## uid      (Intercept)  0.15
## sid      (Intercept)  0.26
## Residual                    0.42
## ---
## number of obs: 159, groups: uid, 36; sid, 6
## AIC = 221, DIC = 191.4
## deviance = 200.2

## Type III Analysis of Variance Table with Satterthwaite's method
##               Sum Sq Mean Sq NumDF  DenDF F value Pr(>F)
## stim_condition 0.98171 0.49086     2 126.24  2.7578 0.06726 .
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

## Figure 4-Supplement 1g

### Feedback effect on firing rate during blank periods preceding movies

```
# Random intercept, random slope for neurons,  
# random intercept for experiments, nested in series, nested in mice  
lmer.4_S1g = lmer(blankrates ~ feedback + (1 + feedback | uid) + (1 | mid/sid/eid),  
                data = tb %>% drop_na(blankrates))
```

```
display(lmer.4_S1g)
```

```
## lmer(formula = blankrates ~ feedback + (1 + feedback | uid) +  
##      (1 | mid/sid/eid), data = tb %>% drop_na(blankrates))  
##           coef.est coef.se  
## (Intercept) 8.74      2.63  
## feedback    4.41      0.88  
##  
## Error terms:  
## Groups      Name          Std.Dev. Corr  
## uid          (Intercept)  9.58  
##              feedback     7.04   -0.44  
## eid:(sid:mid) (Intercept)  3.07  
## sid:mid      (Intercept)  4.89  
## mid          (Intercept)  3.85  
## Residual                    11.35  
## ---  
## number of obs: 45192, groups: uid, 65; eid:(sid:mid), 24; sid:mid, 11; mid, 6  
## AIC = 348565, DIC = 348557.5  
## deviance = 348552.4
```

```
anova(lmer.4_S1g)
```

```
## Type III Analysis of Variance Table with Satterthwaite's method  
##           Sum Sq Mean Sq NumDF  DenDF F value    Pr(>F)  
## feedback 3231.9  3231.9      1 62.572  25.078 4.774e-06 ***  
## ---  
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Feedback: 13.15 spikes/s.

Suppression: 8.74 spikes/s

n = 65 neurons from 6 mice

## Figure 4-Supplement 1j

### Feedback effect on burst ratio during blank periods preceding movies

```
# Random intercept, random slope for neurons,  
# random intercept for experiments  
lmer.4_S1j = lmer(blankburstratios ~ feedback + (1 + feedback | uid) + (1 | eid),  
                 data = tb %>% drop_na(blankburstratios))
```

```
display(lmer.4_S1j)
```

```
## lmer(formula = blankburstratios ~ feedback + (1 + feedback |  
##   uid) + (1 | eid), data = tb %>% drop_na(blankburstratios))  
##           coef.est coef.se  
## (Intercept)  0.23    0.04  
## feedback    -0.19    0.03  
##  
## Error terms:  
## Groups   Name          Std.Dev. Corr  
## uid      (Intercept)  0.28  
##          feedback     0.25    -1.00  
## eid      (Intercept)  0.02  
## Residual                0.22  
## ---  
## number of obs: 30108, groups: uid, 65; eid, 24  
## AIC = -6234.2, DIC = -6274.7  
## deviance = -6261.4
```

```
anova(lmer.4_S1j)
```

```
## Type III Analysis of Variance Table with Satterthwaite's method  
##           Sum Sq Mean Sq NumDF  DenDF F value    Pr(>F)  
## feedback  1.7568  1.7568     1 64.395  37.533 6.035e-08 ***  
## ---  
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Feedback: burst ratio of 0.031  
Suppression: burst ratio of 0.23  
n = 65 neurons from 6 mice



## Figure 4-Supplement 1h

### Feedback effect on firing rate during blank periods preceding gratings

```
# Random intercept for neurons,  
# random intercept for experiments, nested in series, nested in mice  
lmer.4_S1h = lmer(blankrates ~ feedback + (1 + feedback | uid) + (1 | mid/sid/eid),  
                 data = tb %>% drop_na(blankrates))
```

```
display(lmer.4_S1h)
```

```
## lmer(formula = blankrates ~ feedback + (1 + feedback | uid) +  
##      (1 | mid/sid/eid), data = tb %>% drop_na(blankrates))  
##           coef.est coef.se  
## (Intercept) 7.46      3.29  
## feedback    3.36      0.80  
##  
## Error terms:  
## Groups      Name          Std.Dev. Corr  
## uid          (Intercept) 11.68  
##              feedback     5.24   -0.43  
## eid:(sid:mid) (Intercept)  4.20  
## sid:mid      (Intercept)  3.59  
## mid          (Intercept)  3.82  
## Residual                    9.17  
## ---  
## number of obs: 17640, groups: uid, 44; eid:(sid:mid), 12; sid:mid, 8; mid, 4  
## AIC = 128702, DIC = 128694.4  
## deviance = 128689.1
```

```
anova(lmer.4_S1h)
```

```
## Type III Analysis of Variance Table with Satterthwaite's method  
##           Sum Sq Mean Sq NumDF DenDF F value    Pr(>F)  
## feedback 1471.4  1471.4     1 43.26  17.496 0.0001381 ***  
## ---  
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Feedback: 10.82 spikes/s

Suppression: 7.46 spikes/s

n = 44 neurons from 4 mice

## Figure 4-Supplement 1k

### Feedback effect on burst ratio during blank periods preceding gratings

```
# Random intercept, random slope for neurons,  
# random intercept for series, nested in mice  
lmer.4_S1k = lmer(blankburstratios ~ feedback + (1 + feedback | uid) + (1 | mid/sid),  
                 data = tb %>% drop_na(blankburstratios))  
  
display(lmer.4_S1k)
```

```
## lmer(formula = blankburstratios ~ feedback + (1 + feedback |  
##      uid) + (1 | mid/sid), data = tb %>% drop_na(blankburstratios))  
##              coef.est coef.se  
## (Intercept)  0.21      0.04  
## feedback    -0.18      0.04  
##  
## Error terms:  
## Groups   Name          Std.Dev. Corr  
## uid      (Intercept)  0.27  
##          feedback     0.25    -0.99  
## sid:mid (Intercept)  0.01  
## mid      (Intercept)  0.01  
## Residual                0.22  
## ---  
## number of obs: 11649, groups: uid, 43; sid:mid, 8; mid, 4  
## AIC = -2045.9, DIC = -2086.6  
## deviance = -2074.2
```

```
anova(lmer.4_S1k)
```

```
## Type III Analysis of Variance Table with Satterthwaite's method  
##           Sum Sq Mean Sq NumDF  DenDF F value    Pr(>F)  
## feedback 1.0594  1.0594     1 42.294  22.116 2.743e-05 ***  
## ---  
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Feedback: burst ratio of 0.034  
Suppression: burst ratio of 0.21  
n = 43 neurons from 4 mice

## Figure 4-Supplement 1i

### Feedback effect on firing rate during zero-contrast gratings

```
# Random intercept, random slope for neurons,  
# random intercept for experiments, nested in mice  
lmer.4_S1i = lmer(blankconrates ~ feedback + (1 + feedback | uid) + (1 | sid/eid),  
                data = tb %>% drop_na(blankconrates))  
  
display(lmer.4_S1i)
```

```
## lmer(formula = blankconrates ~ feedback + (1 + feedback | uid) +  
##      (1 | sid/eid), data = tb %>% drop_na(blankconrates))  
##              coef.est coef.se  
## (Intercept) 8.65      2.98  
## feedback    2.80      1.12  
##  
## Error terms:  
## Groups   Name          Std.Dev. Corr  
## uid      (Intercept) 14.09  
##          feedback     7.00   -0.70  
## eid:sid (Intercept)  5.13  
## sid     (Intercept)  3.34  
## Residual                6.90  
## ---  
## number of obs: 1470, groups: uid, 44; eid:sid, 12; sid, 8  
## AIC = 10163.9, DIC = 10159.4  
## deviance = 10153.7
```

```
anova(lmer.4_S1i)
```

```
## Type III Analysis of Variance Table with Satterthwaite's method  
##           Sum Sq Mean Sq NumDF  DenDF F value  Pr(>F)  
## feedback 296.83  296.83     1 43.075  6.2282 0.01648 *  
## ---  
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Feedback: 11.45 spikes/s

Suppression: 8.65 spikes/s

n = 44 neurons from 4 mice

## Figure 4-Supplement 11

### Feedback effect on burst ratio during zero-contrast gratings

```
# Random intercept for neurons,  
# random intercept for series, nested in mice  
lmer.4_S11 = lmer(blankcondburstratios ~ feedback + (1 | uid) + (1 | mid/sid),  
                 data = tb %>% drop_na(blankcondburstratios))  
  
display(lmer.4_S11)
```

```
## lmer(formula = blankcondburstratios ~ feedback + (1 | uid) +  
##   (1 | mid/sid), data = tb %>% drop_na(blankcondburstratios))  
##           coef.est coef.se  
## (Intercept)  0.14    0.04  
## feedback    -0.09    0.01  
##  
## Error terms:  
## Groups   Name          Std.Dev.  
## uid      (Intercept)  0.09  
## sid:mid  (Intercept)  0.09  
## mid      (Intercept)  0.03  
## Residual                    0.17  
## ---  
## number of obs: 1316, groups: uid, 43; sid:mid, 8; mid, 4  
## AIC = -850, DIC = -886.4  
## deviance = -874.2
```

```
anova(lmer.4_S11)
```

```
## Type III Analysis of Variance Table with Satterthwaite's method  
##           Sum Sq Mean Sq NumDF DenDF F value    Pr(>F)  
## feedback  2.8345  2.8345     1  1273  102.14 < 2.2e-16 ***  
## ---  
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Feedback: burst ratio of 0.049  
Suppression: burst ratio of 0.14  
n = 43 neurons from 4 mice