



INCF virtual training weeks 2021 - NIX Neo workshop -

Michael Denker, Jan Grewe, Julia Sprenger, & Michael Sonntag

German Neuroinformatics Node

August 30, 2021 - September 01, 2021

Reproducibility crises

Nature Reviews Genetics | AOP, published online 27 December 2012; doi:10.1038/nrg3394

Reuse of public genome-wide

66 The authors replicated two studies 'in principle' and six 'partially', whereas ten were not reproduced. [...]The main reason for the lack of reproducibility was the unavailability of all relevant data or metadata. — Rung & Brazma, 2012

> every biologist. In this Review, we discuss the utility of the gene expression data that are in the public domain and how researchers are making use of these data. Reuse of public data can be very powerful, but there are many obstacles in data preparation and analysis and in the interpretation of the results. We will discuss these challenges and provide recommendations that we believe can improve the utility of such data.

REVIEWS

Reproducibility crises

Cell Reports



Sorting Out the FACS: A Devil in the Details

William C. Hines, ¹¹A^{*} Ying Su,^{2,3,4,4,*} Irene Kuhn, ¹ Kornelia Polyak,^{2,3,4,4} and Mina J. Bisselli^{1,2} ¹Uis Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Maistog D'Arr252,5, 1 Cylotkotn Road, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA ¹Department of Medicine, Brightam and Women's Hoppital Boaton, MA 02215, USA ¹Department of Medicine, Brightam and Women's Hoppital Boaton, MA 02215, USA ¹Department of Medicine, Brightam and Women's Hoppital Boaton, MA 0215, USA ¹These authors contributed squality to this work ¹These authors contributed squality to this work

66 ... our two laboratories quite reproducibly were unable to replicate each other's fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) profiles of primary breast cells. — Hines et al., 2014

rate on a problem of mutual interestmanely, the betregoneity of the human breast. Despite using seemingly identical methods, reageness, and specimens, our two laboratories quite reproducibly were unable to replicate each other's fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) promounted, given that we had not found the correct answer(s), even after a year.

more standard for desinguishing diversary among different cell populations in the mammary gland. Flow instruments have evolved from being able to detect only a few parameters to those now capable of measuring up to-and beyond-an astonishing 50 individual markers per cell (Cheung and Utz 2011). As with any exponential increase in data complexity, the importance of developing robust preparation and analytical protocols that tion at analysis of separated cen popular tions grown in 30 matrices was to take place in Berkeley (M.J.B.'s taboratory. Lawrence Berkeley National Lab, University of California, Berkoley). Both our laboratories have decades of experience and established protocols for isolating cells from primary normal breast tissues as well as the capabilities required for flow sorting primary cells from mice and women. "Challenges in irreproducible research", Nature special, 06.07.2018, ISSN 1476-4687 https://www.nature.com/collections/prbfkwmwvz

66 "More than 70% of researchers have tried and failed to reproduce another scientist's experiments, and more than half have failed to reproduce their own experiments. Those are some of the telling figures that emerged from Nature's survey of 1,576 researchers who took a brief online questionnaire on reproducibility in research."

Reproducibility crisis

What factors contribute to irreproducible research?

- > Selective reporting
- > Pressure to publish
- > Low statistical power or poor analysis
- > Not replicated enough in original lab
- > Insufficient oversight/mentoring
- > Methods & code unavailable
- > Poor experimental design
- > Raw data not available from original lab
- > Fraud
- > Insufficient peer review
- > Problems with reproduction efforts
- > Technical expertise required for reproduction
- > Variability of standard reagents
- > Bad luck
- > Insufficient metadata

Reproducibility crisis

What factors contribute to irreproducible research?

- > Selective reporting
- > Pressure to publish
- > Low statistical power or poor analysis
- > Not replicated enough in original lab
- > Insufficient oversight/mentoring
- > Methods & code unavailable
- > Poor experimental design
- > Raw data not available from original lab
- > Fraud
- > Insufficient peer review
- > Problems with reproduction efforts
- > Technical expertise required for reproduction
- > Variability of standard reagents
- > Bad luck
- > Insufficient metadata

There is a lack of standardization

 Earlier (roughly 2007/2008) this was also identified as one problem in neuroscience. There is a lack of standardization

- > Earlier (roughly 2007/2008) this was also identified as one problem in neuroscience.
- > We need standards and tools to help doing good neuroscience.

There is a lack of standardization

- Earlier (roughly 2007/2008) this was also identified as one problem in neuroscience.
- > We need standards and tools to help doing good neuroscience.
- > The INCF task force on Standardization in electrophysiology was the starting point of the NIX project.

Reproducibility crisis also in the Neurosciences



Reproducibility crisis also in the Neurosciences



... but at least some of these are open-source!



Neuroscience Information Exchange

Originates from the neuroscience ... but is not limited to it. NIX aims at:

- 1. One data model for storing data and metadata within the same container.
- 2. Flexible enough to support a high variety of data types.
- 3. Store n-dimensional data.
- 4. Allow in-depth annotations.
- 5. Data entities are self describing.
- 6. Support standardization in data and metadata storing.
- 7. Of course, open source.

NIX Data Model



30.08 - 01.09 | NIX - Neo workshop

NIX Data Model



- > The NIX data model is not a file format.
- > It can be implemented in many backends.
- > So far, we use the HDF5 file format as storage backend.

NIX Implementations



Generic APIs



- There are two native APIs: nixpy (python) and nixio (C++) and two that use language bindings to write NIX files to HDF5 files.
- In principle, we can support several different backends.

Domain specific APIs



- Domain specific APIs use the generic ones to ease access to data from one domain, e.g. Electrophysiology.
- > They provide a way to adapt and standardize storing data.
- This does not hinder to read the data with the generic libraries.

 \Rightarrow Neo-nixio stores Neo electrophysiology data using NIX as storage backend.

 \Rightarrow Storing to NIX can be embedded into the recording software http://relacs.sourceforge.net.

Reproducibility crisis also in the Neurosciences



...and the other 40+ ephys data standards?





- interfaces to 40+ ephys proprietary & open formats in various versions
- provides a standardized ephys data representation, it is not a file format.
- reads all formats, but provides writing capability only for selected open formats.

Neo

A basis for

- > format conversion
- > custom data analysis

> diverse tools dealing with ephys data



Elephant



Electrophysiology Analysis Toolkit

- > analysis of brain activity (spike trains, local field potentials,...)
- > builds on the Neo framework (input, output)
- > focus on population measures from experiment and simulation

Viziphant



Quick visualizations

- of Neo representations of electrophysiology data
- > of Elephant analysis results

In this workshop we will ...

- > introduce the ideas and concepts of the NIX data model.
- > show how various data types can be represented with it.
- > show how to use and find data in NIX files.
- > become familiar with the Neo representation of electrophysiology data.
- > learn how to used Elephant and Viziphant for data analysis and visualizations.
- > use a lot of hands-on exercises.

- Day 1: NIX Basics
 - Introduction
 - File & data handling
 - > Tagging
 - > Annotations

- Day 2: Deeper dive
 - > Adding Features
 - > Finding stuff
 - > Advanced features

- Day 3: Neo&Elephant
 - Introduction
 - Working with Neo data
 - > Elephant toolbox

NIX-Neo Workshop - Requirements

- > We run the workshop using the python version of the NIX library (called nixio while the project is called nixpy...) and you should have some basic knowledge of the python programming language.
- > You need a running python environment (\geq 3.6).
- > Installed libraries:
 - jupyter
 - matplotlib
 - nixio
 - neo
 - elephant
 - viziphant
- > Alternatively we can use Binder (see the README file in the course repository). https:

//gin.g-node.org/INCF-workshop-2021/NIX-Neo-workshop

Installing the requirements locally

>pip3 install -r requirements.txt

Installing the requirements locally

>pip3 install -r requirements.txt

Please make sure you have the latest nixio version.

We will rely on nixio version 1.5.1 In case of doubt run: pip3 install nixio --upgrade

NIX-Neo Workshop - Resources

Course material:

> https://gin.g-node.org/INCF-workshop-2021/NIX-Neo-workshop

Source code:

- > https://github.com/g-node/nix
- > https://github.com/g-node/nixpy
- > https://github.com/g-node/nix-mx

Documentation & tutorials:

- > https://nixpy.readthedocs.io/
- > https://nixio.readthedocs.io/
- > https://neuralensemble.org/neo/
- > https://python-elephant.org

NIXPY documentation

NIXPY documentation

30.08 - 01.09 | NIX - Neo workshop